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Abstract

kernlab is an extensible package for kernel-based machine learning methods in R¹. It takes advantage of R’s new S4 object model and provides a framework for creating and using kernel-based algorithms. The package contains dot product primitives (kernels), implementations of support vector machines and the relevance vector machine, Gaussian processes, a ranking algorithm, kernel PCA, kernel CCA, and a spectral clustering algorithm. Moreover it provides a general purpose quadratic programming solver, and an incomplete Cholesky decomposition method.
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1 Introduction

Machine learning is all about extracting structure from data, but it is often difficult to solve problems like classification, regression and clustering in the space in which the underlying observations have been made.

Kernel-based learning methods use an implicit mapping of the input data into a high dimensional feature space defined by a kernel function, i.e., a function returning the inner product \( \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle \) between the images of two data points \( x, y \) in the feature space. The learning then takes place in the feature space, provided the learning algorithm can be entirely rewritten so that the data points only appear inside dot products with other points. This is often referred to as the “kernel trick” (Schölkopf and Smola, 2002). More precisely, if a projection \( \Phi : X \rightarrow H \) is used, the dot product \( \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle \) can be represented by a kernel function \( k \)

\[
k(x, y) = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle,
\]

which is computationally simpler than explicitly projecting \( x \) and \( y \) into the feature space \( H \).

One interesting property of kernel-based systems is that, once a valid kernel function has been selected, one can practically work in spaces of any dimension without paying any computational cost, since feature mapping is never effectively performed. In fact, one does not even need to know which features are being used.

Another advantage is the that one can design and use a kernel for a particular problem that could be applied directly to the data without the need for a feature extraction process. This is particularly important in problems where a lot of structure of the data is lost by the feature extraction process (e.g., text processing). The inherent modularity of kernel-based learning methods allows one to use any valid kernel on a kernel-based algorithm.
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1.1 Software Review

The most prominent kernel based learning algorithm is without doubt the support vector machine (SVM), so the existence of many support vector machine packages comes as little surprise. Most of the existing SVM software is written in C or C++, e.g. the award winning libsvm\(^2\) (Chang and Lin, 2001), SVMlight\(^3\) (Joachims, 1999), SVMTorch\(^4\), Royal Holloway Support Vector Machines\(^5\), mySVM\(^6\), and R-SVM\(^7\) with many packages providing interfaces to Matlab (such as libsvm), and even some native Matlab toolboxes\(^8\)\(^9\)\(^10\).

Putting SVM specific software aside and considering the abundance of other kernel-based algorithms published nowadays, there is little software available implementing a wider range of kernel methods with some exceptions like the Spider\(^11\) software which provides a Matlab interface to various C/C++ SVM libraries and Matlab implementations of various kernel-based algorithms, Torch\(^12\) which also includes more traditional machine learning algorithms, and the occasional Matlab or C program found on a personal web page where an author includes code from a published paper.

1.2 R Software

The e1071 R package offers an interface to the award winning libsvm (Chang and Lin, 2001), a very efficient SVM implementation. libsvm provides a robust and fast SVM implementation and produces state of the art results on most classification and regression problems (Meyer et al., 2003). The R interface provided in e1071 adds all standard R functionality like object orientation and formula interfaces to libsvm. Another SVM related R package which was made recently available is klaR (Roever et al., 2004) which includes an interface to SVMlight, a popular SVM implementation along with other classification tools like Regularized Discriminant Analysis.

However, most of the libsvm and klaR SVM code is in C++. Therefore, if one would like to extend or enhance the code with e.g. new kernels or different optimizers, one would have to modify the core C++ code.

2 kernlab

kernlab aims to provide the R user with basic kernel functionality (e.g., like computing a kernel matrix using a particular kernel), along with some utility functions commonly used in kernel-based methods like a quadratic programming solver, and modern kernel-based algorithms based on the functionality that the package provides. Taking advantage of the inherent modularity of kernel-based methods, kernlab aims to allow the user to switch between kernels on an existing algorithm and even create and use own kernel functions for the kernel methods provided in the package.

2.1 S4 objects

kernlab uses R’s new object model described in “Programming with Data” (Chambers, 1998) which is known as the S4 class system and is implemented in the methods package.

In contrast with the older S3 model for objects in R, classes, slots, and methods relationships must be declared explicitly when using the S4 system. The number and types of slots in an instance
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of a class have to be established at the time the class is defined. The objects from the class are validated against this definition and have to comply to it at any time. **S4** also requires formal declarations of methods, unlike the informal system of using function names to identify a certain method in **S3**.

An **S4** method is declared by a call to `setMethod` along with the name and a “signature” of the arguments. The signature is used to identify the classes of one or more arguments of the method. Generic functions can be declared using the `setGeneric` function. Although such formal declarations require package authors to be more disciplined than when using the informal **S3** classes, they provide assurance that each object in a class has the required slots and that the names and classes of data in the slots are consistent.

An example of a class used in **kernlab** is shown below. Typically, in a return object we want to include information on the result of the method along with additional information and parameters. Usually **kernlab**’s classes include slots for the kernel function used and the results and additional useful information.

```r
setClass("specc",
  representation="vector", # the vector containing the cluster
centers="matrix",      # the cluster centers
size="vector",         # size of each cluster
kernelf="function",    # kernel function used
withinss = "vector"),   # within cluster sum of squares
prototype = structure(.Data = vector(),
  centers = matrix(),
  size = matrix(),
  kernelf = ls,
  withinss = vector())
```

Accessor and assignment function are defined and used to access the content of each slot which can be also accessed with the `@` operator.

### 2.2 Namespace

Namespaces were introduced in **R** 1.7 and provide a means for packages to control the way global variables and methods are being made available. Due to the number of assignment and accessor function involved, a namespace is used to control the methods which are being made visible outside the package. Since **S4** methods are being used, the **kernlab** namespace also imports methods and variables form the **methods** package.

### 2.3 Data

The **kernlab** package also includes data set which will be used to illustrate the methods included in the package. The **spam** data set (Hastie et al., 2001) set collected at Hewlett-Packard Labs classifies 4601 e-mails as spam or non-spam. The 57 variables of each data vector indicate the frequency of certain words and characters in the e-mail. The data set contains 2788 and 1813 e-mails classified as non-spam and spam, respectively.

Another data set included in **kernlab** the **income** data set (Hastie et al., 2001) is taken by a marketing survey in the San Francisco Bay concerning the income of shopping mall customers. It consists of 14 demographic attributes (nominal and ordinal variables) including the income and 8993 observations.

The **ticdata** data set (van der Putten et al., 2000) was used in the 2000 Coil Challenge and contains information on customers of an insurance company. The data consists of 86 variables and includes product usage data and socio-demographic data derived from zip area codes. The data was collected to answer the following question: Can you predict who would be interested in buying a caravan insurance policy and give an explanation why?
The spirals data set was created by the \texttt{mlbench.spirals} function in the \texttt{mlbench} package (Leisch and Dimitriadou, 2001). This two-dimensional data set with 300 data points consists of two spirals where Gaussian noise is added to each data point.

2.4 Kernels

A kernel function $k$ calculates the inner product of two vectors $x$, $x'$ in a given feature mapping $\Phi : X \rightarrow H$. The notion of a kernel is obviously central in the making of any kernel-based algorithm and consequently also in any software package containing kernel-based methods.

Kernels in \texttt{kernlab} are S4 objects of class \texttt{kernel} extending the \texttt{function} class with one additional slot containing a list with the kernel hyper-parameters. Package \texttt{kernlab} includes 7 different kernel classes which all contain the class \texttt{kernel} and are used to implement the existing kernels. These classes are used in the function dispatch mechanism of the kernel utility functions described below. Existing kernel functions are initialized by “creator” functions. All kernel functions take two feature vectors as parameters and return the scalar dot product of the vectors. An example of the functionality of a kernel in \texttt{kernlab}:

\begin{verbatim}
R> rbf <- rbfdot(sigma = 0.05)
R> rbf
Gaussian Radial Basis kernel function.
Hyperparameter : sigma = 0.05
R> x <- rnorm(10)
R> y <- rnorm(10)
R> rbf(x, y)
[,1]
[1,] 0.3853355
\end{verbatim}

The package includes implementations of the following kernels:

- the linear “vanilladot” kernel implements the simplest of all kernel functions
  \[ k(x, x') = \langle x, x' \rangle \]  
  which is useful specially when dealing with large sparse data vectors $x$ as is usually the case in text categorization.

- the Gaussian radial basis function “rbfdot”
  \[ k(x, x') = \exp(-\sigma \| x - x' \|^2) \]  
  which is a general purpose kernel and is typically used when no further prior knowledge is available about the data.

- the polynomial kernel “polydot”
  \[ k(x, x') = (\text{scale} \cdot \langle x, x' \rangle + \text{offset})^{\text{degree}}. \]  
  which is used in classification of images.

- the hyperbolic tangent kernel “tanhdot”
  \[ k(x, x') = \tanh (\text{scale} \cdot \langle x, x' \rangle + \text{offset}) \]  
  which is mainly used as a proxy for neural networks.
• the Bessel function of the first kind kernel “besseldot”

\[
k(x, x') = \text{Bessel}^n_{\nu+1}(\sigma \|x - x'\|)/\left(\|x - x'\|^{n(\nu+1)}\right).
\]  

is a general purpose kernel and is typically used when no further prior knowledge is available and mainly popular in the Gaussian Process community.

• the Laplace radial basis kernel “laplacedot”

\[
k(x, x') = \exp(-\sigma \|x - x'\|)
\]

which is a general purpose kernel and is typically used when no further prior knowledge is available.

• the ANOVA radial basis kernel “anovadot”

\[
k(x, x') = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \exp(-\sigma (x^k - y^k)^2)\right)^d
\]

which performs well in multidimensional regression problems.

2.5 Kernel Utility Methods

The package also includes methods for computing commonly used kernel expressions (e.g., the Gram matrix). These methods are written in such a way that they take functions (i.e., kernels) and matrices (i.e., vectors of patterns) as arguments. These can be either the kernel functions already included in kernlab or any other function implementing a valid dot product (taking two vector arguments and returning a scalar). In case one of the already implemented kernels is used, the function calls a vectorized implementation of the corresponding function. Moreover, in the case of symmetric matrices (e.g., the dot product matrix of a Support Vector Machine) they only require one argument rather than having to pass the same matrix twice (for rows and columns).

The computations for the kernels already available in the package are vectorized whenever possible which guarantees good performance and acceptable memory requirements. Users can define their own kernel by creating a function which takes two vectors as arguments (the data points) and returns a scalar (the dot product). This function can then be based as an argument to the kernel utility methods. For a user defined kernel the dispatch mechanism calls a generic method implementation which calculates the expression by passing the kernel function through a pair of for loops. The kernel methods included are:

kernelMatrix This is the most commonly used function. It computes \(k(x, x')\), i.e., it computes the matrix \(K\) where \(K_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)\) and \(x\) is a row vector. In particular,

\[
k <- \text{kernelMatrix(kernel, x)}
\]

computes the matrix \(K_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)\) where the \(x_i\) are the columns of \(X\) and

\[
k <- \text{kernelMatrix(kernel, x1, x2)}
\]

computes the matrix \(K_{ij} = k(x1, x2)\).

kernelMult is a convenient way of computing kernel expansions. It returns the vector \(f = (f(x_1), \ldots, f(x_m))\) where

\[
f(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} k(x_i, x_j)\alpha_j, \text{ hence } f = K\alpha.
\]
The need for such a function arises from the fact that $K$ may sometimes be larger than the memory available. Therefore, it is convenient to compute $K$ only in stripes and discard the latter after the corresponding part of $K\alpha$ has been computed. The parameter \texttt{blocksize} determines the number of rows in the stripes. In particular,

$$f \leftarrow \text{kernelMult}(kernel, x, \alpha)$$

computes $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} k(x_i, x_j)\alpha_j$ and

$$f \leftarrow \text{kernelMult}(kernel, x_1, x_2, \alpha)$$

computes $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} k(x_1, x_2)\alpha_j$.

\texttt{kernelPol} is a method very similar to \texttt{kernelMatrix} with the only difference that rather than computing $K_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$ it computes $K_{ij} = y_i y_j k(x_i, x_j)$. This means that

$$K \leftarrow \text{kernelPol}(kernel, x, y)$$

computes the matrix $K_{ij} = y_i y_j k(x_i, x_j)$ where the $x_i$ are the columns of $x$ and $y_i$ are elements of the vector $y$. Moreover,

$$K \leftarrow \text{kernelPol}(kernel, x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)$$

computes the matrix $K_{ij} = y_1 y_2 k(x_1, x_2)$. Both $x_1$ and $x_2$ may be matrices and $y_1$ and $y_2$ vectors.

An example using these functions:

\begin{verbatim}
R> poly <- polydot(degree = 2)
R> x <- matrix(rnorm(60), 6, 10)
R> y <- matrix(rnorm(40), 4, 10)
R> kx <- kernelMatrix(poly, x)
R> kxy <- kernelMatrix(poly, x, y)
\end{verbatim}

3 Kernel Methods

Providing a solid base for creating kernel-based methods is part of what we are trying to achieve with this package, the other being to provide a wider range of kernel-based methods in R. In the rest of the paper we present the kernel-based methods available in \texttt{kernlab}. All the methods in \texttt{kernlab} can be used with any of the kernels included in the package as well as with any valid user-defined kernel. User defined kernel functions can be passed to existing kernel-methods in the kernel argument.

3.1 Support Vector Machine

Support vector machines (Vapnik, 1998) have gained prominence in the field of machine learning and pattern classification and regression. The solutions to classification and regression problems sought by kernel-based algorithms such as the SVM are linear functions in the feature space:

$$f(x) = w^T \Phi(x)$$

for some weight vector $w \in F$. The kernel trick can be exploited in this whenever the weight vector $w$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the training points, $w = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \Phi(x_i)$, implying that $f$ can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i k(x_i, x)$$
A very important issue that arises is that of choosing a kernel $k$ for a given learning task. Intuitively, we wish to choose a kernel that induces the “right” metric in the space. Support Vector Machines choose a function $f$ that is linear in the feature space by optimizing some criterion over the sample. In the case of the 1-norm Soft Margin classification the optimization problem takes the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad t(w, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i \\
\text{subject to} & \quad y_i(\langle x_i, w \rangle + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m) \\
& \quad \xi_i \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m)
\end{align*}
$$

(12)

Based on similar methodology, SVMs deal with the problem of novelty detection (or one class classification) and regression.

kernlab’s implementation of support vector machines, ksvm, is based on the optimizers found in bsvm\(^{13}\) (Hsu and Lin, 2002b) and libsvm (Chang and Lin, 2001) which includes an very efficient version of the Sequential Minimization Optimization (SMO). SMO decomposes the SVM Quadratic Problem (QP) without using any numerical QP optimization steps. Instead, it chooses to solve the smallest possible optimization problem involving two elements of $\alpha_i$ because the must obey one linear equality constraint. At every step, SMO chooses two $\alpha_i$ to jointly optimize and finds the optimal values for these $\alpha_i$ analytically, thus avoiding numerical QP optimization, and updates the SVM to reflect the new optimal values.

The SVM implementations available in ksvm include the C-SVM classification algorithm along with the $\nu$-SVM classification formulation which is equivalent to the former but has a more natural ($\nu$) model parameter taking values in $[0, 1]$ and is proportional to the fraction of support vectors found in the data set and the training error.

For classification problems which include more than two classes (multi-class) a one-against-one or pairwise classification method (Knerr et al., 1990; Kreßel, 1999) is used. This method constructs $\binom{k}{2}$ classifiers where each one is trained on data from two classes. Prediction is done by voting where each classifier gives a prediction and the class which is predicted more often wins (“Max Wins”). This method has been shown to produce robust results when used with SVMs (Hsu and Lin, 2002a). Furthermore the ksvm implementation provides the ability to produce class probabilities as output instead of class labels. This is done by an improved implementation (Lin et al., 2001) of Platt’s posteriori probabilities (Platt, 2000) where a sigmoid function

$$
P(y = 1 \mid f) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{Af+B}}
$$

(13)

is fitted on the decision values $f$ of the binary SVM classifiers, $A$ and $B$ are estimated by minimizing the negative log-likelihood function. To extend the class probabilities to the multi-class case, each binary classifiers class probability output is combined by the couple method which implements methods for combing class probabilities proposed in (Wu et al., 2003). Another approach for multi-class classification supported by the ksvm function is the one proposed in (Crammer and Singer, 2000). This algorithm works by solving a single optimization problem including the data from all classes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad t(w_n, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{k} \|w_n\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i \\
\text{subject to} & \quad \langle x_i, w_{y_i} \rangle - \langle x_i, w_n \rangle \geq b_i^n - \xi_i \quad (i = 1, \ldots, m) \\
& \quad b_i^n = 1 - \delta_{y_i,n}
\end{align*}
$$

(14)

(15)

where the decision function is

$$
\arg\max_{m=1,\ldots,k} \langle x_i, w_m \rangle
$$

(16)

\(^{13}\text{http://www.csie.ntu.edu.tw/~cjlin/bsvm}\)
This optimization problem is solved by a decomposition method proposed in (Hsu and Lin, 2002b) where optimal working sets are found (that is, sets of $\alpha_i$ values which have a high probability of being non-zero). The QP sub-problems are then solved by a modified version of the TRON\(^{14}\) (Lin and More, 1999) optimization software.

One-class classification or novelty detection (Schölkopf et al., 1999; Tax and Duin, 1999), where essentially an SVM detects outliers in a data set, is another algorithm supported by ksvm. SVM novelty detection works by creating a spherical decision boundary around a set of data points by a set of support vectors describing the spheres boundary. The $\nu$ parameter is used to control the volume of the sphere and consequently the number of outliers found. Again, the value of $\nu$ represents the fraction of outliers found. Furthermore, $\epsilon$-SVM (Vapnik, 1995) and $\nu$-SVM (Schölkopf et al., 2000) regression are also available.

The problem of model selection is partially addressed by an empirical observation for the popular Gaussian RBF kernel (Caputo et al., 2002), where the optimal values of the hyper-parameter of sigma are shown to lie in between the 0.1 and 0.9 quantile of the $\|x - x'\|$ statistics. The sigest function uses a sample of the training set to estimate the quantiles and returns a vector containing the values of the quantiles. Pretty much any value within this interval leads to good performance. An example for the ksvm function is shown below.

```r
R> data(spam)
R> index <- sample(1:dim(spam)[1])
R> spamtrain <- spam[index[1:floor(2 * dim(spam)[1]/3)],
+    ]
R> spamtest <- spam[index[((2 * ceiling(dim(spam)[1]/3)) +
+    1):dim(spam)[1]], ]
R> filter <- ksvm(type ~ ., data = spamtrain, kernel = "rbfdot",
+    kpar = "automatic", C = 5, cross = 3, prob.model = TRUE)

Using automatic sigma estimation (sigest) for RBF kernel

R> filter

Support Vector Machine object of class "ksvm"

SV type: C-classification
parameter : cost C = 5

Gaussian Radial Basis kernel function.
Hyperparameter : sigma = 0.0105192391357516

Number of Support Vectors : 698
Training error : 0.041735
Cross validation error : 0.068143

R> predict(filter, spamtest[1, ])

[1] spam
Levels: nonspam spam
R> predict(filter, spamtest, type = "probabilities")[1,
+    ]

           nonspam     spam
4.167044e-63 1.000000e+00
```

\(^{14}\text{http://www-unix.mcs.anl.gov/~more/tron/}\)
3.2 Relevance Vector Machine

The relevance vector machine (Tipping, 2001) is a probabilistic sparse kernel model identical in functional form to the SVM making predictions based on a function of the form

\[ y(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \alpha_n K(x, x_n) + a_0 \]  

(17)

where \( \alpha_n \) are the model “weights” and \( K(\cdot, \cdot) \) is a kernel function. It adopts a Bayesian approach to learning, by introducing a prior over the weights \( \alpha \)

\[ p(\alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} N(\beta_i \mid 0, a_i^{-1}) \Gamma(\beta_i \mid \beta \beta, \alpha \beta) \]  

(18)

governed by a set of hyper-parameters \( \beta \), one associated with each weight, whose most probable values are iteratively estimated for the data. Sparsity is achieved because in practice the posterior distribution in many of the weights is sharply peaked around zero. Furthermore, unlike the SVM classifier, the non-zero weights in the RVM are not associated with examples close to the decision boundary, but rather appear to represent “prototypical” examples. These examples are termed relevance vectors. 

kernlab currently has an implementation of the RVM based on a type II maximum likelihood method for which can be used for regression. The functions returns an S4 object containing the model parameters along with indexes for the relevance vectors and the kernel function and hyper-parameters used.

\begin{verbatim}
R> rvmm <- rvm(x, y)
R> rvmm

Relevance Vector Machine object of class "rvm"
Problem type: regression
Gaussian Radial Basis kernel function.
Hyperparameter : sigma = 0.1
Number of Relevance Vectors : 25
Variance : 0.000837362
Training error : 0.000710084
R> ytest <- predict(rvmm, x)
\end{verbatim}

3.3 Gaussian Processes

Gaussian Processes (Williams and Rasmussen, 1995) are based on the “prior” assumption that adjacent observations should convey information about each other. In particular, it is assumed that the observed variables are normal, and that the coupling between them takes place by means of the covariance matrix of a normal distribution. Using the kernel matrix as the covariance matrix is a convenient way of extending Bayesian modeling of linear estimators to nonlinear situations. Furthermore it represents the counterpart of the “kernel trick” in methods minimizing the regularized risk.

For regression estimation we assume that rather then observing \( t(x_i) \) we observe \( y_i = t(x_i) + \xi_i \), where \( \xi_i \) is assumed to be independent Gaussian distributed noise with zero mean. The posterior distribution is given by

\[ p(y \mid t) = \left[ \prod_i p(y_i - t(x_i)) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^m \det(K)}} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} t^T K^{-1} t \right) \]  

(19)
and after substituting $t = K\alpha$ and taking logarithms

$$\ln p(\alpha \mid y) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|y - K\alpha\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^T K\alpha + c$$

(20)

and maximizing $\ln p(\alpha \mid y)$ for $\alpha$ to obtain the maximum a posteriori approximation yields

$$\alpha = (K + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} y$$

(21)

Knowing $\alpha$ allows for prediction of $y$ at a new location $x$ through $y = K(x, x_i)\alpha$. In similar fashion Gaussian Processes can be used for classification.

gausspr is the function in kernlab implementing Gaussian processes for classification and regression.

### 3.4 Ranking

The success of Google has vividly demonstrated the value of a good ranking algorithm in real world problems. kernlab includes a ranking algorithm based on work published in (Zhou et al., 2003). This algorithm exploits the geometric structure of the data in contrast to the more naive approach which uses the Euclidean distances or inner products of the data. Since real world data are usually highly structured, this algorithm should perform better than a simpler approach based on a Euclidean distance measure.

First, a weighted network is defined on the data and an authoritative score is assigned to every point. The query points act as source nodes that continually pump their scores to the remaining points via the weighted network, and the remaining points further spread the score to their neighbors. The spreading process is repeated until convergence and the point are ranked according to the scores they received.

Suppose we are given a set of data points $X = x_1, \ldots, x_s, x_{s+1}, \ldots, x_m$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ where the first $s$ points are the query points and the rest are the points to be ranked. The algorithm works by connecting the two nearest points iteratively until a connected graph $G = (X, E)$ is obtained where $E$ is the set of edges. The affinity matrix $K$ defined e.g. by $K_{ij} = \exp(-\sigma \|x_i - x_j\|^2)$ if there is an edge $e(i, j) \in E$ and 0 for the rest and diagonal elements. The matrix is normalized as $L = D^{-1/2}KD^{-1/2}$ where $D_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^m K_{ij}$, and

$$f(t + 1) = \alpha L f(t) + (1 - \alpha) y$$

(22)
is iterated until convergence, where \( \alpha \) is a parameter in \([0, 1)\). The points are then ranked according to their final scores \( f_i(t_f) \).

**kernlab** includes an S4 method implementing the ranking algorithm. The algorithm can be used both with an edge-graph where the structure of the data is taken into account, and without which is equivalent to ranking the data by their distance in the projected space.

### 3.5 Spectral Clustering

Spectral clustering (Ng et al., 2001) is a recently emerged promising alternative to common clustering algorithms. In this method one uses the top eigenvectors of a matrix created by some similarity measure to cluster the data. Similarly to the ranking algorithm, an affinity matrix is created out from the data as

\[
K_{ij} = \exp(-\sigma \|x_i - x_j\|^2)
\]

and normalized as \( L = D^{-1/2}KD^{-1/2} \) where \( D_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} K_{ij} \). Then the top \( k \) eigenvectors (where \( k \) is the number of clusters to be found) of the affinity matrix are used to form an \( n \times k \) matrix \( Y \) where each column is normalized again to unit length. Treating each row of this matrix as a data point, \texttt{kmeans} is finally used to cluster the points.

**kernlab** includes an S4 method called \texttt{specc} implementing this algorithm which can be used through an formula interface or a matrix interface. The S4 object returned by the method extends the class “vector” and contains the assigned cluster for each point along with information on the
```r
R> data(spirals)
R> sc <- specc(spirals, centers = 2)
R> plot(spirals, col = sc)
```

Figure 3: Clustering the two spirals data set with `specc`

centers size and within-cluster sum of squares for each cluster. In case a Gaussian RBF kernel is being used a model selection process can be used to determine the optimal value of the σ hyper-parameter. For a good value of σ the values of Y tend to cluster tightly and it turns out that the within cluster sum of squares is a good indicator for the “quality” of the sigma parameter found. We then iterate through the sigma values to find an optimal value for σ.

### 3.6 Kernel Principal Components Analysis

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a powerful technique for extracting structure from possibly high-dimensional datasets. PCA is an orthogonal transformation of the coordinate system in which we describe the data. The new coordinates by which we represent the data are called principal components. Kernel PCA (Schölkopf et al., 1998) performs a nonlinear transformation of the coordinate system by finding principal components which are nonlinearly related to the input variables. Given a set of centered observations \( x_k, \ k = 1, \ldots, M, \ x_k \in \mathbb{R}^N, \) PCA diagonalizes the covariance matrix \( C = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} x_j x_j^T \) by solving the eigenvalue problem \( \lambda \mathbf{v} = C \mathbf{v}. \) The same computation can be done in a dot product space \( F \) which is related to the input space by a possibly nonlinear map \( \Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow F, \ x \mapsto \mathbf{X}. \) Assuming that we deal with centered data and use
the covariance matrix in $F$,

$$\hat{C} = \frac{1}{C} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Phi(x_j)\Phi(x_j)^T$$

(24)

the kernel principal components are then computed by taking the eigenvectors of the centered kernel matrix $K_{ij} = \langle \Phi(x_j), \Phi(x_j) \rangle$.

`kpca`, the function implementing KPCA in `kernlab`, can be used both with a formula and a matrix interface, and returns an S4 object of class `kpca` containing the principal components the corresponding eigenvalues along with the projection of the training data on the new coordinate system. Furthermore, the `predict` function can be used to embed new data points into the new coordinate system.

### 3.7 Kernel Canonical Correlation Analysis

Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) is concerned with describing the linear relations between variables. If we have two data sets $x_1$ and $x_2$, then the classical CCA attempts to find linear combinations of the variables which give the maximum correlation between the combinations. I.e., if

$$y_1 = w_1 x_1 = \sum_j w_1 x_{1j}$$

$$y_2 = w_2 x_2 = \sum_j w_2 x_{2j}$$

one wishes to find those values of $w_1$ and $w_2$ which maximize the correlation between $y_1$ and $y_2$.

Similar to the KPCA algorithm, CCA can be extended and used in a dot product space $F$ which is related to the input space by a possibly nonlinear map $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to F$, $x \mapsto X$ as

$$y_1 = w_1 \Phi(x_1) = \sum_j w_1 \Phi(x_{1j})$$

$$y_2 = w_2 \Phi(x_2) = \sum_j w_2 \Phi(x_{2j})$$

Following (Kuss and Graepel, 2003), the `kernlab` implementation of a KCCA projects the data vectors on a new coordinate system using KPCA and uses linear CCA to retrieve the correlation coefficients. The `kcca` method in `kernlab` returns an S4 object containing the correlation coefficients for each data set and the corresponding correlation along with the kernel used.

### 3.8 Interior Point Code Quadratic Optimizer

In many kernel based algorithms, learning implies the minimization of some risk function. Typically we have to deal with quadratic or general convex problems for Support Vector Machines of the type

$$\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad f(x) \\
\text{subject to} & \quad c_i(x) \leq 0 \text{ for all } i \in [n].
\end{align*}$$

(25)

$f$ and $c_i$ are convex functions and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. `kernlab` provides the S4 method `ipop` implementing an optimizer of the interior point family (Vanderbei, 1999) which solves the quadratic programming problem

$$\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad c^T x + \frac{1}{2} x^T H x \\
\text{subject to} & \quad b \leq A x \leq b + r \\
& \quad l \leq x \leq u
\end{align*}$$

(26)

This optimizer can be used in regression, classification, and novelty detection in SVMs.
R> data(spam)
R> train <- sample(1:dim(spam)[1], 400)
R> kpc <- kpca(~., data = spam[train, -58], kernel = "rbfdot",
+     kpar = list(sigma = 0.001), features = 2)
R> kpcv <- pcv(kpc)
R> plot(rotated(kpc), col = as.integer(spam[train, 58]),
+     xlab = "1st Principal Component", ylab = "2nd Principal Component")

Figure 4: Projection of the spam data on two kernel principal components using an RBF kernel
3.9 Incomplete Cholesky Decomposition

When dealing with kernel based algorithms, calculating a full kernel matrix should be avoided since it is already a $O(N^2)$ operation. Fortunately, the fact that kernel matrices are positive semidefinite is a strong constraint and good approximations can be found with small computational cost. The Cholesky decomposition factorizes a positive semidefinite $N \times N$ matrix $K$ as $K = ZZ^T$, where $Z$ is an upper triangular $N \times N$ matrix. Exploiting the fact that kernel matrices are usually of low rank, an incomplete Cholesky decomposition (Wright, 1999) finds a matrix $\tilde{Z}$ of size $N \times M$ where $M \ll N$ such that the norm of $K - \tilde{Z}\tilde{Z}^T$ is smaller than a given tolerance $\theta$. The main difference of incomplete Cholesky decomposition to the standard Cholesky decomposition is that pivots which are below a certain threshold are simply skipped. If $L$ is the number of skipped pivots, we obtain a $\tilde{Z}$ with only $M = N - L$ columns. The algorithm works by picking a column from $K$ to be added by maximizing a lower bound on the reduction of the error of the approximation. kernlab has an implementation of an incomplete Cholesky factorization called inc.chol which computes the decomposed matrix $\tilde{Z}$ from the original data for any given kernel without the need to compute a full kernel matrix beforehand. This has the advantage that no full kernel matrix has to be stored in memory.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we described kernlab, a flexible and extensible kernel methods package for R with existing modern kernel algorithms along with tools for constructing new kernel based algorithms. It provides a unified framework for using and creating kernel-based algorithms in R while using all of R’s modern facilities, like S4 classes and namespaces. Our aim for the future is to extend the package and add more kernel-based methods as well as kernel relevant tools. Sources and binaries for the latest version of kernlab are available at CRAN under the GNU Public License.
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